Devi Ahilya University Indore 2012 NAAC Assessment Criteria II Quality Assessment

Sept. 26, 2012

Criterion II: Teaching-Learning and Evaluation (250/1000) (300/1000 for Affiliated Colleges)

Sept. 26, 2012

- 2.1.1 Ensuring publicity and transparency in the admission process
- 2.1.2 Process of admission put in place by the university. List the criteria for admission: (i) merit, (ii) merit with entrance test, (iii) merit, entrance test and interview, (iv) common entrance test conducted by state agencies and national agencies (v) other criteria followed by the university

Sept. 26, 2012

2.1.3 Admission process in the affiliated colleges and the university's role in monitoring the same

Sept. 26, 2012

- 2.1.4 Mechanism to review its admission process and student profile annually
- Outcome of such an analysis and contribution of outcome to the improvement of the process

- 2.1.5 Strategies adopted to increase / improve access for students belonging to the following categories:
 - SC/ST
 - OBC
 - Women
 - Persons with varied disabilities
 - Economically weaker sections
 - Outstanding achievers in sports and other extracurricular activities

Sept. 26, 2012

- 2.1.6 Analysis of demand ratio for the various programmes of the university departments and affiliated colleges
- Highlight the significant trends explaining the reasons for increase / decrease

 2.1.7 Any programmes discontinued/staggered by the university in the last four years

Sept. 26, 2012

(2) Catering to Diverse Needs of Students (20/250) (50/300 for Affiliated Colleges) 2.2.1 University organize orientation/ induction programme for fresher

 Details such as the duration, issues covered, experts involved and mechanism for using the feedback in subsequent years

(2) Catering to Diverse Needs of Students (20/250)

- 2.2.2 A mechanism through which the "differential requirements of the student population" analysed after admission and before the commencement of classes
- Identification of key issues and their addressing
- 2.2.3 Offer bridge / remedial / add-on courses
- Structure of courses into the time table
- Details of the courses offered, departmentwise/faculty-wise

(2) Catering to Diverse Needs of Students (20/250)

2.2.4 Main findings of Study on the academic growth of students from disadvantaged sections of society, economically disadvantaged, physically handicapped, slow learners

• 2.2.5 Identification and response to the learning needs of advanced learners

Sept. 26, 2012

- 2.3.1 Plan and organisation of the teaching, learning and evaluation schedules (academic calendar, teaching plan, evaluation blue print, etc.)
- 2.3.2 Provision of course outlines and course schedules prior to the commencement of the academic session
- and Ensuring of Effectiveness of the process ensured
- 2.3.3 Facing any challenges in completing the curriculum within the stipulated time frame and calendar
- Measures to overcome challenges encountered and the institutional these

• 2.3.4 Learning made student-centric

- List of participatory learning activities adopted by the faculty that contributes to holistic development and improved student learning, besides facilitating life-long learning and knowledge management
- 2.3.5 Policy on inviting experts / people of eminence to deliver lectures and/or organize seminars for students
- 2.3.6 Formal encouragement to blended learning by using e-learning resources

- 2.3.7 Technologies and facilities such as virtual laboratories, e-learning, open educational resources and mobile education used by the faculty for effective teaching
- 2.3.8 Any designated group among the faculty to monitor the trends and issues regarding developments in Open Source Community and integrate its benefits in the university's educational processes
- 2.3.9 Conversion of traditional class rooms into 24x7 learning places

Sept. 26, 2012

- 2.3.10 Provision for the services of counsellors / mentors/ advisors for each class or group of students for academic, personal and psycho-social guidance and Number of students who have benefited
- 2.3.11 Innovative teaching approaches/methods/ practices adopted/put to use by the faculty during the last four years and Methods used to evaluate the impact of such practices
- Efforts made by the institution in giving the faculty due recognition for innovation in teaching

Sept. 26, 2012

- 2.3.12 Creation of a culture of instilling and nurturing creativity and scientific temper among the learners
- 2.3.13 Student projects mandatory in the learning programme and Number of projects executed within the university
- Names of external institutions associated with the university for student project work and Role of faculty in facilitating such projects

- 2.3.14 Well qualified pool of human resource to meet the requirements of the curriculum
- Shortfall supplementation
- 2.3.15 Faculty enabled to prepare computer-aided teaching/ learning materials and facilities available in the university for such efforts
- 2.3.16 A mechanism for the evaluation of teachers by the students / alumni and use of evaluation feedback to improve the quality of the teaching-learning process

Sept. 26, 2012

(4) Teacher Quality (60/250)(80/300 for Affiliated Colleges)

- 2.4.1 Plan and management of its human resources to meet the changing requirements of the curriculum
- 2.4.2 Furnish details of the faculty:
- Number of PhD, M.Phils, PG, UG
- Number of Prof., Associate Prof. and Asst. Prof.
- Number of Permanent, temporary, Part-time

Sept. 26, 2012

• 2.4.3 Diversity

- Department / School faculty from the same university
- Faculty from other universities within the State
- Faculty from universities outside the State
- Faculty from other countries

- 2.4.4 Ensuring that qualified faculty are appointed for new programmes / emerging areas of study (Bio-technology, Bio-informatics, Material Science, Nanotechnology, Comparative Media Studies, Diaspora Studies, Forensic Computing, Educational Leadership, etc.)
- Number of Faculty members appointed to teach new programmes during the last four years

- 2.4.5 Emeritus / Adjunct Faculty / Visiting Professors on the rolls of the university
- 2.4.6 Policies/systems in place to academically recharge and rejuvenate teachers (*e.g.* providing research grants, study leave, nomination to national/international conferences/seminars, inservice training, organizing national/international conferences etc.)

Sept. 26, 2012

 2.4.7 Number of Faculty receiving awards / recognitions for excellence in teaching at the state, national and international level during the last four years

- 2.4.8 Faculty underwent staff development programmes during the last four years
- Refresher courses
- HRD programmes
- Orientation programmes
- Staff training conducted by the university
- Staff training conducted by other institutions
- Summer / Winter schools, workshops, etc.

Sept. 26, 2012

• 2.4.9 Percentage of the faculty

- Invited as resource persons in Workshops / Seminars / Conferences organized by external professional agencies
- Participated in external Workshops / Seminars / Conferences recognized by national/ international professional bodies
- Presented papers in Workshops / Seminars / Conferences conducted or recognized by professional agencies
- Teaching experience in other universities / national institutions and other institutions
- Industrial engagement
- International experience in teaching

Sept. 26, 2012

• 2.4.10 Organization of academic development programmes (*e.g.*: curriculum development, teaching-learning methods, examination reforms, content / knowledge management, etc.) for its faculty aimed at enriching the teaching-learning process

- 2.4.11 A mechanism to encourage Mobility of faculty between universities for teaching?
- Faculty exchange programmes with national and international bodies
- Enriching the quality of the faculty
- Organization of academic development programmes (*e.g.*: curriculum development, teaching-learning methods, examination reforms, content / knowledge management, etc.) for its faculty aimed at enriching the teaching-learning process

(5) Evaluation Process and Reforms (50/250)

- 2.5.1 Ensure that all the stakeholders aware of the evaluation processes
- 2.5.2 Important examination reforms initiated and implemented in the university departments and affiliated colleges
- Examples of positively impacted the examination management system

Sept. 26, 2012

(5) Evaluation Process and Reforms (50/250)

- 2.5.3 Average time taken by the university for declaration of examination results
- In case of delay, measures taken to address them
- Mode / media adopted by the university for the publication of examination results (*e.g.* website, SMS, email, etc.)

(5) Evaluation Process and Reforms (50/250)

- 2.5.4 Ensuring transparency in the evaluation process and rigorous features introduced by the university to ensure confidentiality
- 2.5.5 Integrated examination platform for the following processes
- Pre-examination processes Time table generation, OMR, student list generation, invigilators, squads, attendance sheet, online payment gateway, etc.
- Examination process Examination material management, logistics, etc.
- Post-examination process Attendance capture, OMRbased exam result, auto processing, generic result processing, certification, etc.

Sept. 26, 2012

(5) Evaluation Process and Reforms (50/250)

- 2.5.6 University reforms in its Ph.D. evaluation process
- 2.5.7 University provision for including the name of the college in the degree certificate
- 2.5.8 Mechanism for redressal of grievances with reference to examinations

(5) Evaluation Process and Reforms (50/250)

- 2.5.9 Efforts have been made by the university to streamline the operations at the Office of the Controller of Examinations
- Significant efforts which have improved the process and functioning of the examination division/section

Sept. 26, 2012

(6) Student Performance and Learning Outcomes 10/50

- 2.6.1 Articulated of its Graduate Attributes, it's facilitation and monitoring its implementation and outcome
- 2.6.2 Clearly stated learning outcomes for its academic programmes and awareness of staff and students for the outcomes

(6) Student Performance and Learning Outcomes 10/50

- 2.6.3 Structuring to facilitate the Teaching, learning and assessment strategies the achievement of the intended learning outcomes
- 2.6.4 Collection and analysis of data on student learning outcomes and use it to overcome the barriers to learning

(6) Student Performance and Learning Outcomes 10/50

 2.6.5 New technologies deployed by the university in enhancing student learning and evaluation of how does it seek to meet fresh/ future challenges

Sept. 26, 2012

Summary

• Teaching-Learning and Evaluation (250/1000)

Sept. 26, 2012

Thank You

Sept. 26, 2012